



Prudential Practice Guide

LPG 510 Governance

July 2010

Disclaimer and copyright

This prudential practice guide is not legal advice and users are encouraged to obtain professional advice about the application of any legislation or prudential standard relevant to their particular circumstances and to exercise their own skill and care in relation to any material contained in this guide.

APRA disclaims any liability for any loss or damage arising out of any use of this prudential practice guide.

© Commonwealth of Australia

This work is copyright. You may download, display, print and reproduce this material in unaltered form only (retaining this notice) for your personal, non-commercial use or use within your organisation. All other rights are reserved.

Requests and inquiries concerning reproduction and rights should be addressed to:

Commonwealth Copyright Administration
Copyright Law Branch
Attorney-General's Department
Robert Garran Offices
National Circuit
Barton ACT 2600
Fax: (02) 6250 5989

or submitted via the copyright request form on the website www.ag.gov.au/cca

About this guide

Prudential Standard LPS 510 Governance (LPS 510) sets out APRA's requirements in relation to the governance of life companies and registered non-operating holding companies (registered NOHCs), collectively referred to as regulated institutions. This prudential practice guide aims to assist regulated institutions in complying with those requirements and, more generally, to outline prudent practices in relation to certain governance matters.

Subject to the requirements of LPS 510, regulated institutions have the flexibility to configure their governance framework in the way most suited to achieving their business objectives.

Not all the practices outlined in this guide will be relevant for every regulated institution and some aspects may vary depending upon the size, complexity and risk profile of the regulated institution.

Principles

1. A number of principles underpin a sound and effective governance framework for a regulated institution. These include:
 - (a) responsibility – the board of directors (the Board) is ultimately responsible and accountable for the decisions and actions taken by a regulated institution;
 - (b) independence – demonstrated by a Board that discharges its review and oversight role effectively and independent of the interests of dominant shareholders, management, and competing or conflicting business interests;
 - (c) renewal – a policy of renewal provides for fresh insight and general reinvigoration of the Board while also ensuring ongoing understanding of the business of the regulated institution;
 - (d) expertise – demonstrated by a Board with the necessary expertise to fulfil its role and functions and access to independent expertise not readily available amongst the current directors;
 - (e) diligence – demonstrated by a Board that discharges its duties and responsibilities carefully and conscientiously;
 - (f) prudence – demonstrated by a Board with a clear focus on the prudent management of the regulated institution;
 - (g) transparency – demonstrated by a Board that is open and honest in its dealings on behalf of the regulated institution; and
 - (h) oversight – demonstrated by a Board that is able to satisfy itself that the management and operation of the regulated institution conforms with its strategy, direction and policies.

Independence

2. A person who is a member of a mutual society that is a regulated institution is not by that fact alone to be considered not independent for the purposes of meeting the independence requirements in LPS 510. A person is only precluded from being considered an independent director if they do not meet the criteria for independence referred to in LPS 510.

Residency

3. As a guide, a person might be considered 'ordinarily resident', as referred to in LPS 510, if they are likely to be in Australia for a majority of days in any 12-month period.

The Board and senior management

4. As stated in LPS 510, the Board has ultimate responsibility for the sound and prudent management of a regulated institution. A well-functioning Board will review and approve business strategies and significant policies of the regulated institution. It will also satisfy itself that an effective system of risk management and internal control is established and maintained, and that senior management monitors the effectiveness of the risk management framework.
5. Senior management has responsibility for day-to-day management of the regulated institution. This includes the implementation and monitoring of structures, processes, information and oversight arrangements used in managing the regulated institution.

Conflicts of interest

6. The *Corporations Act 2001* (Corporations Act) contains requirements for dealing with conflicts of interest. Specifically, subject to certain exceptions, subsection 195(1) of the Corporations Act requires a director of a public company with a material personal interest in a matter that is being considered at a directors' meeting not:
 - (a) be present while the matter is being considered at the meeting; or
 - (b) vote on the matter.
7. The Corporations Act allows exceptions to this rule. Subsection 195(2) permits directors who do not have a material personal interest in a matter to pass a resolution that the director with a material personal interest should not be disqualified from voting or being present when the matter is considered.
8. APRA expects that such resolutions would be limited to exceptional circumstances and to be minuted, together with reasons.
9. Section 195 of the Corporations Act does not apply to the directors of proprietary companies or foreign ADIs. However, APRA expects that directors of all ADIs will absent themselves from discussions and voting on matters where they have a conflict of interest, as if subsection 195(1) and the exceptions to it under the Corporations Act applied.

Regulated institutions that are part of a corporate group

10. Where a regulated institution is part of a corporate group¹, APRA expects that the Board of the regulated institution will consider the potential impact of the operations of other entities in the group on the regulated institution. If the regulated institution is at the head of the corporate group, APRA would expect the Board to have considered the impact of the operations of member entities of the group on all APRA-regulated institutions within the group.

Board committees

11. A well-functioning Board of a regulated institution will typically consider whether there may be merit in establishing Board committees for the purpose of overseeing critical functions. While LPS 510 requires only the establishment of a Board Audit Committee, the Board may find the establishment of other committees beneficial for certain functions and for strengthening the overall governance arrangements of the regulated institution.
12. While some duties of directors may be delegated to Board committees, as appropriate, the Board retains ultimate responsibility for ensuring that those duties are performed.
13. In establishing committees, a well-functioning Board will have regard to the risk profile of the regulated institution and the complexity of its business, as well as the experience and expertise of the directors.
14. Where Board committees are established, it would be prudent practice for these committees to have clearly defined charters that set out their role and objectives, responsibilities, authorities and tenure, and that the charters of these committees be regularly reviewed. It would also be prudent practice that Board committees report regularly to the Board.

¹ A 'corporate group' comprises a number of companies that are related bodies corporate within the meaning of section 50 of the Corporations Act.

Board Audit Committee

15. APRA expects that, in addition to the requirements in LPS 510, the Board Audit Committee will, from time to time, meet separately with the internal auditor and external auditor without other parties being present.

Board Risk Committee

16. APRA does not require a regulated institution to establish a dedicated Board Risk Committee. However, APRA expects that the Board will have considered the necessity of such a committee and the suitability of arrangements for dealing with risk issues at the Board level. Typically, larger and more complex life companies will have a separate Board Risk Committee.
17. A Board Risk Committee would be responsible for formulating the risk strategy of the regulated institution, for determining policies that ensure the strategy is adhered to and for monitoring adherence to those policies.
18. Where a regulated institution has a dedicated Board Risk Committee, it would be prudent practice for the committee to allow those responsible for risk management functions to meet with it, without other parties being present.

Internal audit

19. While LPS 510 requires the internal audit function to have a reporting line and unfettered access to the Board Audit Committee, this does not preclude the internal auditor from having a reporting line to management, provided that this does not undermine the independence of the internal audit function, either in appearance or in fact.

Board performance assessment

20. LPS 510 requires the Board to assess its performance and that of individual directors relative to its objectives. In undertaking this assessment, a well-functioning Board will typically consider and document the objectives that it sets for the Board collectively and for individual directors.
21. Objectives for the Board could include:
 - (a) establishing the overall strategy for the regulated institution and ensuring reporting against this strategy;
 - (b) approving the risk management strategy of the regulated institution including determining the level of risk it is willing to accept;
 - (c) assessing operating and financial conditions against forecasts;
 - (d) assessing senior management performance against agreed criteria, which would include, for relevant senior management, the effectiveness of risk controls; and
 - (e) making key decisions in a timely manner.Objectives for individual directors could include:
 - (a) whether a director demonstrates the required expertise for their role;
 - (b) attendance and participation at Board meetings; and
 - (c) contribution to Board deliberations and the overall direction of the regulated institution.



Telephone
1300 13 10 60

Email
contactapra@apra.gov.au

Website
www.apra.gov.au

Mail
GPO Box 9836
in all capital cities
(except Hobart and Darwin)